

Chronic Hepatitis C Virus— Case Investigation Prioritization, Policy, and Health Department Capacity

Lindsey Sizemore, MPH, CPH

Tennessee Department of Health

AND CASE MANAGEMENT GUIDANCE FOR STATE, TERRITORIAL, AND LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENTS

Published August 2021

DIVISION OF VIRAL HEPATITIS

VIRAL HEPATITIS SURVEILLANCE

Surveillance Activities for Chronic Hepatitis C

Due to varying levels of resources, jurisdictions might be at different stages of implementing surveillance activities for chronic hepatitis C. The following section provides best practice models for core and enhanced surveillance activities for consideration by jurisdictions. Enhanced surveillance activities should be identified based on local priorities.

Best Practice Models for Core and Enhanced Chronic Hepatitis C Surveillance

Best Practices: Case Investigation Prioritization

- Pregnant people
- New cases reported in elderly patients (e.g., ≥ 70 years of age)
- People < 40 years of age that might represent emerging risks
- People living with HIV



Best Practices: Quality Assurance

- Establish a process for data cleaning and standardizing laboratory reports
- Assess case investigations and laboratory reports for completeness and accuracy
- Identify and review potential duplicate laboratory reports, patients, and/or case investigations



Best Practices: Analyses

 Create an annual report, situational analysis, or other data product that can be widely shared with providers, advocated, stakeholders, and other public health professionals



Best Practices: Policy

- Research existing health code/policy related to HCV reporting and the process for changing such policies
- Identify who should report HCV cases
- Determine what should be reportable



How do you determine what is reportable to the state health department? How does the state health department make reporting entities aware of reporting requirements?

- Tennessee Administrative Code: Chapter 1200-14-01-.02 (Reportable Diseases):
 - "All healthcare providers and other persons knowing of or suspecting a case, culture, or specimen of a reportable disease or event shall report that occurrence to the Department of Health in the time and manner set forth by the Commissioner in the List." ¹
- The Tennessee Department of Health publishes Commissioner of Health's Letter and Summary of Reporting Changes to Providers and Laboratories Statewide annually:
 - <u>https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/health/documents/reportable-</u> <u>diseases/Commissioner-Letter.pdf</u>
 - <u>https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/health/documents/reportable-</u> <u>diseases/2021_Summary_of_Reporting_Changes.pdf</u>

How will reporting entities provide this information to the state health department? How long do they have to report?

- Expected timeframes vary by condition (i.e., same day to within one week) and reports are accepted online or via fax (providers) and via fax or electronic laboratory reporting for onboarded sites (laboratories).
 - <u>https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/health/documents/reportable-diseases/PH-1600.pdf</u>
- Providers
 - <u>https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/health/documents/reportable-diseases/Provider-list-2021.pdf</u>
 - <u>https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/health/documents/reportablediseases/2020_HowtoReport_ForHealthcareProviders.pdf</u>
- Laboratories
 - <u>https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/health/documents/reportable-diseases/Lab-list-2021.pdf</u>
 - <u>https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/health/documents/reportable-</u> <u>diseases/2021 Detailed Laboratory Guidance Final.pdf</u>
 - <u>https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/health/documents/reportable-diseases/2020_HowToReport_ForLaboratories.pdf</u>

Should dual reporting (providers and laboratories) be considered in certain instances?

- If a facility has their own laboratory, and they cannot complete a test in which the results are reportable themselves, they will send the specimen to the reference laboratory to complete the testing.
 - o In Tennessee, both the facility and the reference laboratory must report.
- If a condition is both laboratory and healthcare provider reportable (e.g., acute hepatitis C virus).
 - In Tennessee, the laboratory must report the test result and healthcare provider must report the disease information.

What is the minimum laboratory reporting content required?

 In Tennessee, this includes patient demographics (i.e., DOB, address, sex at birth, gender, race, ethnicity, telephone number), ordering provider (i.e., facility name, phone number, address), performing laboratory (i.e., name, phone number, address), test performed, accession number, specimen type/source and collection date, result (quantitative and qualitative), interpretation, and reference range

Health Department Capacity (TN)

- Staff: At least 2 FTES for data entry are needed to process laboratory reports, apply appropriate CDC/CSTE case definition, and submit notifications
 - TN receives about 3,000 HCV laboratory reports per month (paper and electronic)
- Staff: At least 1 FTE epidemiologist is needed to conduct quality assurance
 - Reviewing/approving notifications prior to submission to CDC (HL7) and ensuring appropriate CDC/CSTE case definition
 - Conducting internal quality assurance and de-duplication measures established
 - Developing annual epidemiological profile and update Viral Hepatitis NBS User Guide
- Staff: At least 1.0 FTE Informatician
 - Onboard facilities for electronic laboratory reporting
 - Oversee implementation of Hepatitis Message Mapping Guide (HL7) and successful ongoing notification transmittal to CDC



Viral Hepatitis NBS User Guide (TN)

HepTAC VLC

	Viral Hepatitis Program
	Organizational Chart
	Viral Hepatitis Calls
	Important Terminology: Viral Hepatitis
	Important Terminology: NBS
	NBS Supported Browsers
	Internet Explorer Configuration for NBS Users
	Google Chrome Configuration for NBS Users
	Entering Viral Hepatitis Investigations into NBS
	Hepatitis A NBS Investigations
	Hepatitis B NBS Investigations
	Notes Regarding HBV Investigations
	Hepatitis B Positive Pregnant Female NBS Investigations
	Detailed Data Entry Instructions for Hepatitis B Positive Pregnant Female NBS Investigations .
ł	Notes Regarding Hepatitis B Positive Pregnant Female Investigations
	Perinatal Hepatitis B NBS Investigations
	Hepatitis C NBS Investigations
	Notes Regarding HCV Investigations
	Hepatitis C Positive Pregnant Female and Perinatal HCV NBS Investigations
	Hepatitis D and Hepatitis E NBS Investigations
	Detailed Data Entry Instructions
	Searching for a Patient
	Adding a Patient
	Adding a Laboratory Report
	Creating an Investigation
	Adding or Editing Information in the Investigation
	Contact Tracing
	Transferring Jurisdictions
	Closing an Investigation
	Manage Associations

Changing a Condition	68
Appendix A: CDC/CSTE Case Definitions and NBS Case Status Classification	70
2012 CDC/CSTE Case Definitions: Hepatitis B, acute	71
2012 CDC/CSTE Case Definitions: Hepatitis B, chronic	72
HBV Case Status Classification Box and Applications of Case Status for HBV	73
2020 CDC/CSTE Case Definitions: Hepatitis C (acute and chronic)	75
HCV Case Status Classification Box and Applications of Case Status for HCV	77
2018 CDC/CSTE Case Definitions: Perinatal Hepatitis C	78
Hepatitis B Testing and Counseling	79
Hepatitis C Testing and Counseling	
Appendix B: Standardized Statewide Tools	83
Case Report Form	
Provider Records Request Letter	
Provider Records Request Letter for HBV Positive Females of Reproductive Age	90
Letter for Contacts to Acute HBV or Acute HCV Cases	91
Public Health Authority Letter	92
VA Medical Record Request	93
PH-1600 Form	94
Accurint Record Search Request Form	95
Appendix C: Adding Providers/Organizations and NBS and Laboratory Translator	96
Data Entry: Adding Providers	97
Data Entry: Adding Organizations	
Data Entry: Translator for Entering a Laboratory Report	
Appendix D: Viral Hepatitis Case Notifications Process	
Appendix E: Viral Hepatitis Morbidity Report Process	116
Appendix F: Marking HCV ELR as Reviewed in Bulk	
Appendix G: Patient Matching: NBS Merge Request and/or Data Entry	

<u>Special Thank You</u>

Hilary Armstrong (Public Health Seattle-King County) Angelica Bocour (New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene) Daniel Church (Massachusetts Department of Public Health) Tessa Fairfortune (Washington State Department of Health) Alexandra Gagner (Chicago Department of Public Health) Prabhu Gounder (Los Angeles County Department of Public Health) Genny Grilli (Minnesota Department of Health) Jennifer Knorr (Fairfax County Health Department) Jennifer Layden (Illinois Department of Public Health) Tasha Martin (Oregon Health Authority) Emma Spencer (Florida Department of Health) Lucila Zamboni (New York State Department of Health) Rui Zhao (Louisville Metro Department of Public Health and Wellness)



Thank You

Lindsey Sizemore, MPH, CPH Viral Hepatitis Program Director Tennessee Department of Health <u>lindsey.sizemore@tn.gov</u>





Classification Scenario One

- The health department received a laboratory result on a person 62 years of age who has a positive anti-HCV result and a positive HCV RNA result
- Total bilirubin level was 0.2 mg/dL, and ALT was 22 IU/L/
- The patient could not be matched with an existing acute or chronic case of hepatitis C in the surveillance system



Classification Questions

- Does this meet the age criterion?
- Does this meet laboratory criteria?
- Does this meet clinical criteria?
- Is this a new event?
- What is the case classification?



Classification Scenario One

Case Classification Criteria	Scenario	Rationale for Classification
Age criterion: > 36 months of age	62 years of age	\checkmark
Confirmatory laboratory evidence: HCV detection test (i.e., HCV RNA, HCV genotype, or HCV antigen)	Positive	\checkmark
Clinical criteria: Jaundice of peak elevated total bilirubin ≥ 3.0 mg/dL or peak elevated ALT > 200 IU/L and the absence of a more likely diagnosis	No	X
New event criterion 1: Is the patient newly reported?	Yes	\checkmark
New event criterion 2: Does the patient have an acute hepatitis C event in the surveillance system in a previous MMWR year and ≥ 1 year after acute onset?	No	X

Case Classification: Confirmed Chronic Hepatitis C Virus



Q & A

Classification Scenario Two

- The health department received an HCV genotype laboratory result on a person 38 years of age
- The patient was matched to an existing acute hepatitis C case in your jurisdiction's surveillance system from 18 months prior (i.e., positive HCV antibody with positive reflexed HCV RNA)



Classification Questions

- Does this meet the age criterion?
- Does this meet laboratory criteria?
- Does this meet clinical criteria?
- Is this a new event?
- What is the case classification?



Classification Scenario Two

	Case Classification Criteria	Scenario	Rationale for Classification
	Age criterion: > 36 months of age	38 years of age	\checkmark
HC	Confirmatory laboratory evidence: / detection test (i.e., HCV RNA, HCV genotype, or HCV antigen)	Positive	\checkmark
) bilii	Clinical criteria: Jaundice of peak elevated total rubin ≥ 3.0 mg/dL or peak elevated ALT > 200 IU/L and the absence of a more likely diagnosis	No	X
Nev	w event criterion 1: Is the patient newly reported?	No	Х
۲ acu pre	New event criterion 2: Does the patient have an te hepatitis C event in the surveillance system in a vious MMWR year and ≥ 1 year after acute onset?	Yes	\checkmark

Case Classification: Confirmed Chronic Hepatitis C Virus

Thank You

Lindsey Sizemore, MPH, CPH Viral Hepatitis Program Director Tennessee Department of Health <u>lindsey.sizemore@tn.gov</u>



